

Industry Actions from the Dominion Operating Experience

Joe Donahue Vice President – Nuclear Oversight Duke Energy

History

- Industry notified of a NDE issue at a Dominion Station
- NDE Improvement Focus Group (NIFG) formed in May 2012 to look broadly at lessons for the Dominion issue to improve NDE performance across the Industry
- Diverse team made up of: EPRI, PWR/BWR Utility Materials Representatives and NDE Advisors, and INPO
- Focus on improvements for dissimilar metal weld examinations
- Two interim industry communications have been issued in the form of NDE Alerts

Focus of NDE Improvement for DM Welds

- Industry Extent of Condition
- ✓ Based on the Dominion OE
- Appendix VIII
- √Team Scanning Guidance
- ✓ Site Specific Mockup Process
- NDE Implementation
- ✓ UT Examination Technology Selection (encoded or non-encoded)
- ✓ Pre-Job Briefing of NDE Inspectors
- Oversight
- ✓ DM Weld Inspection Oversight Guidance
- Examiner Proficiency
- ✓ Hands-on Practice
- 29 Total Recommendations

Review Process

- Proposed improvements will be issued by the Industry:
 - Issuance of an Industry Extent of Condition process
 - Revision to the EPRI Guideline for Conducting Ultrasonic Examination of Dissimilar Metal Welds
 - Issuance of revised Performance Demonstration Initiative documents (Site Specific Mockup Process and Hands on Practice Guideline)
- Review will include various EPRI Advisor Groups as appropriate
- Final approval will be obtained through the EPRI PWR and BWR Materials Issue Program Executive Committees

Industry Extent Of Condition Process

- Survey Industry for DM Weld Examination & Materials
- · Binned Welds by Relative NDE Risk
 - Non-encoded (i.e., manual) examination using Site-Specific Mockup
 - Non-encoded examination not using a Site-Specific Mockup
 - Encoded (i.e., automated) examination using Site-Specific

 Mockup
- Encoded examination not using a Site-Specific Mockup: lowest risk
- Material considerations were then factored into the data analysis

Team Scanning Guidance

- Team Scanning is applicable to non-encoded UT examinations and is defined as:
 - When one technician scans or physically manipulates the ultrasonic transducer during an examination while a separate qualified examiner performs real time interpretation of the displayed ultrasonic information
- · Recommendations for DM Weld Examinations:
- Enhance the guidance for the consistent and proper application of team scanning for the non-encoded ultrasonic examination of DM welds
- Includes the steps necessary to ensure that the team scanning process is effectively implemented
- Demonstrate the scanning and communication process prior to the examination
- Team scanning is not to be utilized as the default examination approach and when used the utility will approve

-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
_			

Site Specific Mockup Process • Used to optimize Appendix VIII demonstrated techniques to provide the best examination process when field configurations differ from those within the PDI demonstration mockup inventory - Must still be within the minimum and maximum thickness and diameters demonstrated Areas of Process Strengthened - Mockup Quality - Controls for Validation of Search Unit Parameters - Controls for Essential Variable Modifications - Compliance with Appendix VIII Procedure Demonstration Requirements - Examiner Training \ practice on Mock ups **UT Examination Technology Selection** UT Examination Technology - Encoded examinations produce an electronic record of the ultrasonic responses indexed to the probe position, permitting offline analysis of images built from the combined data Non-encoded examinations do not record ultrasonic responses indexed to the probe position. Off-line independent review typically is limited to written documentation of the examiner's real-time observations, perhaps with printouts of screen captures **UT Examination Technology Selection** • New guidance for the selection of examination technology based on graded approach: - Will be incorporated into the revised Guideline for Conducting Ultrasonic Examination of Dissimilar Metal Welds - Susceptible or Non-Susceptible Materials - Mitigated Welds - Use of Site Specific Mockups for Pre-Mitigation Examinations - Equipment Available · Limited to scheduled examinations utilizing Appendix VIII, Supplement 10

Pre-Job Briefing of NDE Inspectors • The North Anna RCE identified a lack of an effective prejob briefing as a contributor to the issue. The pre-job brief provided did not address the critical UT examination attributes. - Provide guidance to utilities for the performance of focused DM weld examination pre-job briefs to assure that examination personnel understand the importance, technical and administrative details of the DM weld examination activity - Intended to supplement existing pre-job briefing process - Will be incorporated into the revised Guideline for Conducting Ultrasonic Examination of Dissimilar Metal Welds **Inspection Oversight Guidance** • The North Anna RCE identified a lack of utility oversight in the examination preparation and performance of the examination as a contributor to the issue - Provide guidance for increased utility engagement with key aspects of the entire DM Weld Examination Process to enhance NDE - Intended to supplement existing site Oversight Process - Independent Assessment of the Inspection Process - Will be incorporated into the revised Guideline for Conducting Ultrasonic Examination of Dissimilar Metal Welds **Hands-on Practice** • PDI policies revised to strengthen the process: - Provide a more robust process to maintain and improve qualified Appendix VIII UT examiner proficiency - Provides additional guidance for the implementation of the hands-on - Requires examiners to practice with multiple Appendix VIII supplements if qualifications are maintained for more then one supplement - Addresses process for encoded and non-encoded practice - Practice sessions require implementing the entire examination process (calibration, examination, detection/sizing) - Potential to not maintain qualification status if continued proficiency is not demonstrated

Alignment with INPO • INPO was included in NIFG meetings and provided input for, and review of, all the NIFG products · Has indicated plans to monitor NDE as part of their outage activities **Summary of NDE Improvements** • Industry Extent of Condition ✓ Based on the Dominion OE Appendix VIII ✓ Team Scanning Guidance ✓ Site Specific Mockup Process NDE Implementation ✓ UT Examination Technology Selection (encoded or non-encoded) ✓ Pre-Job Briefing of NDE Inspectors Oversight ✓ DM Weld Inspection Oversight Guidance Examiner Proficiency ✓ Hands-on Practice • 29 Total Recommendations